

## Additional Tables

Tables 1 - 2 report results only for instances for which at least one feasible solution has been found within 480 seconds. Each table row is the average across instances belonging to class  $(H, K, N, *)$ , under the following column headings.

- $T$  - average computational time in seconds;
- $D$ : average value of the gap between the objective function value  $z$  of the determined solution and the optimal solution  $z_{BK}$ , i.e.

$$D := \frac{z - z_{BK}}{z_{BK}} \%,$$

For instances not solved to optimality  $z_{BK}$  represents the best solution determined with a time limit of 3600 seconds.

- $C$ : average value of the percentage of negative interactions computed as

$$C := \frac{\text{total}_{\text{neg}}}{\text{total}_{\text{neg}} + \text{total}_{\text{pos}}} \%,$$

where  $\text{total}_{\text{neg}}$  and  $\text{total}_{\text{pos}}$  are the total number of, respectively, negative interactions and positive interactions triggered by the CPLP solution of the *Soft*-instance.

Results show that for both *Hard* and *Soft* variants of *IV* model, CP-Optimizer took (on average) less than 20 seconds to find the optimal solution for about 420 instances out to 572 and less than 35 seconds to determine at least one feasible solution for all 572 instances. On the other hand the OR-Tools determined a feasible solution within 202 (166) seconds on average for 540 (551) out of 572 instances of the *Soft* (*Hard*) variant of *IP* model. Both solver were able to determine feasible solutions with a gap  $D$  lower than 1% on average. Nevertheless, CP-Optimizer determined such high-quality solutions within the first 60 seconds. As far as the percentage  $C$  of negative interactions associated to *Soft*-instances, we observe that both models provided solutions that were almost always feasible for the *Hard* variant (i.e.  $C = 0.00\%$ ).

Table 1: Computational results: hard

H	K	N	count	CP Optimizer				OR-Tools				
				TL = 60s		TL = 120s		TL = 240s		TL = 480s		
				T [s]	D [%]	T [s]	D [%]	T [s]	D [%]	T [s]	D [%]	
2	6	33	25	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	12.1	0.7	
		60	25	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	21.2	0.2	
		100	25	2.6	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	14.0	0.0	
	8	135	25	7.3	0.0	14.5	0.0	28.5	0.0	30.5	0.0	
		100	25	4.9	0.0	9.7	0.0	19.3	0.0	38.5	0.0	
		135	25	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	15.8	0.0	
4	6	33	25	10.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	32.5	0.0	61.3	0.0	
		60	25	10.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	32.5	0.0	11.9	0.5	
		146	25	14.6	0.1	29.0	0.1	57.8	0.1	111.1	0.1	
	8	100	25	10.3	0.0	19.9	0.0	34.4	0.0	63.2	0.0	
		135	25	12.8	0.1	24.8	0.0	47.2	0.0	85.6	0.0	
		100	25	13.6	2.7	25.6	2.7	45.6	2.7	84.0	0.1	
6	6	33	25	15.2	0.4	28.9	0.4	52.9	0.1	100.9	0.1	
		60	25	17.6	0.2	25.8	0.3	47.4	0.2	90.6	0.1	
		100	25	19.6	0.0	34.4	0.0	68.0	0.0	177.0	0.1	
	8	135	25	19.5	0.0	36.3	0.0	38.8	0.0	77.2	0.0	
		100	25	22.6	0.8	44.2	0.5	84.9	0.5	135.6	0.0	
		135	25	24.2	0.5	44.3	0.4	82.7	0.0	161.7	0.5	
8	6	33	20	41.0	1.4	72.7	1.0	132.7	0.9	252.7	0.8	
		60	20	33.5	0.2	63.5	0.2	123.5	0.2	243.5	0.2	
		100	20	31.7	1.3	61.7	0.0	121.7	0.0	241.7	0.0	
	8	135	20	31.0	0.7	61.0	0.3	121.0	0.3	241.0	0.3	
		100	21	42.5	1.7	79.2	0.6	147.8	0.6	275.6	0.5	
		135	21	41.1	1.2	73.3	0.3	131.5	0.3	245.8	0.3	
Average				<b>17.8</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>62.7</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>119.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	
										<b>25.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	
										<b>47.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	
										<b>87.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	
										<b>166.0</b>	<b>0.7</b>	

Table 2: Computational results: soft

H	K	N	CP Optimizer						OR-Tools						TL = 480s			
			TL = 60s			TL = 120s			TL = 240s			TL = 480s			TL = 960s			
T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	T [s]	D [%]	C [%]	
2	6	33	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.2	0.0	23.5	0.7	0.0	
		60	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	59.3	0.0	42.7	
		100	2.7	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0	37.7	0.0	0.0	
	8	135	7.4	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0	0.0	28.5	0.0	0.0	31.7	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	93.3	
		100	5.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0	38.6	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.4	150.8	
		135	2.9	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	134.8	
4	6	33	10.3	0.0	0.0	18.3	0.0	0.0	32.7	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0	17.2	0.0	0.0	
		60	14.5	0.1	0.0	28.9	0.1	0.0	57.7	0.1	0.0	107.7	0.1	0.0	20.0	0.8	0.0	
		100	11.9	0.0	4.0	21.5	0.0	4.0	40.7	0.0	4.0	79.1	0.0	4.0	22.1	1.5	0.0	
	8	135	12.8	0.0	0.0	24.8	0.0	0.0	47.0	0.0	0.0	85.4	0.0	0.0	22.1	1.7	0.0	
		100	14.0	2.8	0.0	26.0	2.7	0.0	45.9	2.7	0.0	84.3	2.7	0.0	33.6	1.1	0.0	
		135	15.5	0.3	0.0	29.0	0.3	0.0	52.9	0.1	0.0	101.0	0.0	0.0	31.1	1.6	0.0	
6	6	33	24.3	0.6	0.0	45.9	0.4	0.0	89.1	0.4	0.0	175.5	0.4	0.0	31.0	1.2	0.0	
		60	17.5	0.1	0.0	34.3	0.0	0.0	67.9	0.0	0.0	135.1	0.0	0.0	27.7	0.5	0.0	
		100	19.6	0.0	0.0	38.8	0.0	0.0	77.2	0.0	0.0	154.0	0.0	0.0	50.1	0.2	0.0	
	8	135	17.7	0.0	0.0	34.5	0.0	0.0	68.1	0.0	0.0	130.3	0.0	0.0	26.1	1.0	0.0	
		100	22.7	0.8	0.0	44.3	0.7	0.0	84.3	0.6	0.0	161.1	0.5	0.0	39.6	1.8	0.0	
		135	24.7	0.3	0.0	44.3	0.3	0.0	79.9	0.3	0.0	147.1	0.2	0.0	42.9	3.0	1.7	
8	6	33	40.4	1.1	0.0	71.4	0.8	0.0	131.4	0.8	0.0	251.4	0.8	0.0	46.2	6.2	0.0	
		60	33.3	0.8	0.0	63.3	0.4	0.0	123.3	0.4	0.0	243.3	0.4	0.0	54.0	2.5	0.0	
		100	32.0	1.8	0.0	62.0	1.2	0.0	122.0	0.7	0.0	242.0	0.5	0.0	43.4	3.4	0.0	
	8	135	31.2	0.3	0.0	61.2	0.3	0.0	121.2	0.3	0.0	241.2	0.3	0.0	41.3	7.8	0.0	
		100	42.1	0.6	0.0	80.9	0.9	0.0	149.3	0.6	0.0	275.0	0.6	0.0	57.1	4.6	0.0	
		135	42.8	2.5	0.1	76.8	0.6	0.0	139.7	0.4	0.0	253.8	0.2	0.0	57.4	6.9	0.0	
Average			17.9	0.5	0.2	33.5	0.4	0.2	63.2	0.3	0.2	119.4	0.3	0.2	30.1	1.9	0.7	
			<b>17.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>63.2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>119.4</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>30.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>	
			<b>17.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>63.2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>119.4</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>30.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>	
			<b>17.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>63.2</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>119.4</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>30.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>0.7</b>	